Precision microwave dielectric and magnetic susceptibility measurements of correlated electronic materials using superconducting cavities
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We analyze microwave cavity perturbation methods, and show that the technique is an excellent, precision method to study the dynamic magnetic and dielectric response in the GHz frequency range. Using superconducting cavities, we obtain exceptionally high precision and sensitivity for measurements of relative changes. A dynamic electromagnetic susceptibility $\tilde{\chi}(T) = \xi' + i \xi''$ is introduced, which is obtained from the measured parameters: the shift of cavity resonant frequency $\delta f$ and quality factor $Q$. We focus on the case of a spherical sample placed at the center of a cylindrical cavity resonant in the TE$_{011}$ mode. Depending on the sample characteristics, the magnetic permeability $\mu$, the dielectric permittivity $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon' + i \varepsilon''$, and the complex conductivity $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma' + i \sigma''$ can be extracted from $\tilde{\chi}_H$. A full spherical wave analysis of the cavity perturbation indicates that: (i) In highly insulating samples with dielectric constant $\varepsilon' - 1$, the measured $\tilde{\chi}_H - \tilde{\chi}_M$, enabling direct measurement of the magnetic susceptibility. The sensitivity of the method equals or surpasses that of dc superconducting quantum interference device measurements for the relative changes in magnetic susceptibility. (ii) For moderate $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ and conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}$, $\tilde{\chi}_H \approx \tilde{\varepsilon} + i \omega \tilde{\sigma}/\varepsilon_0 - 1$, thus enabling direct measurement of the sample dielectric constant $\varepsilon$, even though the sample is placed in a microwave magnetic field. (iii) For large $\sigma$ we recover the surface impedance limit. (iv) Expressions are provided for the general case of a lossy dielectric represented by $\tilde{\varepsilon} + i \omega \tilde{\sigma}/\varepsilon_0$. We show that an inversion procedure can be used to obtain $\tilde{\varepsilon} + i \omega \tilde{\sigma}/\varepsilon_0$ in a wide range of parameter values. This analysis has led to the observation of new phenomena in novel low-dimensional materials. We discuss results on magneto dynamics of the three-dimensional (3D) antiferromagnetic state of the spin chain compound Sr$_2$CuO$_3$. In dielectric susceptibility measurements in Sr$_{14}$Cu$_{24}$O$_{41}$, we directly observe a dielectric loss peak. Dimensional resonances in the paraelectric material SrTiO$_3$ are shown to occur due to the rapid increase of dielectric constant with decreasing temperature. The cavity perturbation methods are thus an extremely sensitive probe of charge and spin dynamics in electronic materials. © 2000 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The continuing discovery of new electronic materials calls for new methods of measuring their electric and magnetic properties. Microwave cavity perturbation techniques have proved to be very useful for the study of transport dynamics at microwave frequencies, in materials such as semiconductors, magnetic ferrites, and exotic materials such as charge and spin density waves (CDW, SDW).

In all of these previous studies normal metal cavities were used. To study the (then) newly discovered high temperature superconductors (HTS), the use of superconducting cavities was introduced by Sridhar and Kennedy. The reduction in background absorption by a factor of $10^3$ from a normal metal cavity enabled the measurement of absorption in small, single crystal superconductors and thin films. The surface impedance $\tilde{Z}_s = R_s - i X_s$ was obtained in terms of changes of the cavity parameters: the shift in frequency $\delta f$ and quality factor $Q$. Subsequently the concept of the “hot finger” technique introduced in Ref. 1 has been used in measurements in other laboratories also with the purpose of studying HTS.

In this article, we present a re-analysis of the cavity perturbation technique, and describe a new application utilizing superconducting microwave cavities, to study dynamic electric and magnetic susceptibilities of strongly correlated electronic materials. We focus on the configuration where the sample is placed at a microwave magnetic field maximum of the TE$_{011}$ mode.

(1) We introduce an electromagnetic susceptibility $\tilde{\chi} = \xi' + i \xi''$, which provides a useful framework to discuss the results of the microwave measurements. We use $\tilde{\chi}_H$ to note the case where the sample is measured in a microwave magnetic field (e.g., in the TE$_{011}$ mode), and $\tilde{\chi}_E$ when the sample is placed in a microwave electric field (e.g., in the TM$_{010}$ mode). Depending on sample proper-
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ties, the measured parameter $\tilde{\chi}$ can be related to the sample magnetic permeability ($\tilde{\mu} = 1 + \tilde{\chi}_M$) and dielectric permittivity ($\tilde{\varepsilon} = 1 + \tilde{\chi}_P$), where $\tilde{\chi}_M(\tilde{\varepsilon})$ are the magnetic (electric) susceptibilities, the conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}$, and the surface impedance $Z_s$. These various limits are discussed in detail in the article.

(2) For highly insulating samples with $\tilde{\varepsilon}\sim 1$, the technique is a very sensitive method of measuring the magnetic susceptibility, since $\tilde{\varepsilon}\sim \tilde{\chi}_M = \tilde{\varepsilon}_M + i \tilde{\chi}_M$. The sensitivity of this technique is compared with others, and it is shown that the microwave method, when superconducting cavities are used, can equal or even exceed that of a dc superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) for relative changes in susceptibility, such as with changing $T$. It also yields results on samples (typically mm sized) in which comparable ac susceptibility measurements do not have sufficient sensitivity. As an example of this technique we show that it yields information on magnetodynamics in a spin chain material Sr$_2$CuO$_3$.

(3) When the sample conductivity or dielectric constant is substantial, the measurements are dominated by these parameters. For insulating samples with even moderate dielectric constants $\varepsilon'$, the experiments are a direct measurement of $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon' + i \varepsilon''$. Thus we are able to measure $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ even though the sample is placed in a microwave magnetic field maximum. (In fact, the $H_\omega$ field measurements of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ have an advantage over $E_\omega$ measurements as they are not subject to the so-called depolarization peak.) We describe an inversion procedure to obtain the complex dielectric constant $\tilde{\varepsilon} + i \tilde{\sigma}/\omega_\varepsilon$ from the measured data. A spectacular example of the dielectric measurements is the observation of a dielectric loss peak in $\varepsilon''(T)$ due to dielectric relaxation in the spin ladder compound Sr$_4$Ca$_3$O$_{41}$.

(4) For sufficiently large $\varepsilon'$, dimensional resonances can occur when the microwave essentially enters into the sample. A striking example of this is presented in data on SrTiO$_3$.

(5) When the conductivity $\sigma$ is appreciable, it can lead to an eddy current contribution resulting in a peak in absorption with increasing conductivity. (This is the magnetic analog of the so-called depolarization peak for $E_\omega$ field measurements.) For large conductivity the results tend to the surface impedance limit. This is the limit used in previous measurements of the surface impedance of metals and superconductors. This article presents a unified approach which encompasses both the insulating and highly metallic limits.

The cavity perturbation method discussed here yields unique information on spin and charge dynamics at short time scales between neutron scattering (NS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and muon spin resonance ($\mu$SR), and has led to the observation of some unique phenomena in quantum magnets, dielectrics, and superconductors.

II. DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS AND MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

A right cylindrical cavity (inner radius 7/8 in. and axial length 1 in.) was made of pure niobium (Nb), which is a superconductor below $T_c = 8.9$ K. The cavity was fabricated in three pieces: two end plates with the needed holes and one center ring. The top plate has a center pumping hole (3.56 mm diameter), and two coupling holes (3.56 mm diameter), the bottom plate has one centrally located hole (6.7 mm diameter), through which the sample is inserted into the cavity. The TE$_{011}$ mode is degenerate with the TM$_{111}$ mode. As TE$_{011}$ is the desired operating mode, the diameter of these coupling holes was chosen to provide enough perturbation to split the two modes more than 40 MHz apart. The high quality Nb stock was carefully machined at very low speed to the needed shape and then polished without lubricant, which would otherwise cause oxidation on the Nb surface. Each piece was then annealed, and the grains, which grew due to annealing, vary from sub millimeter size to roughly 4 mm diameter. The three-piece cavity was tightly held by a stainless steel assembly consisting of a top ring, a center piece for alignment, and a bottom ring. The whole resonator was then mounted in an alignment frame, supported on the top by a stainless steel Dewar probe (10.16 cm diameter and 1 m long) and, on the bottom, with a sealed copper cup (10.16 cm diameter and 10.16 cm long) with a removable bottom copper plate. Indium seals were used so that the entire assembly was vacuum tight. Superconducting operation of the cavity was accomplished using a bath of liquid $^4$He.

A small piece of sample was mounted on the top of a sapphire rod (1.56 mm diameter$\times$52 mm long) using very little Apiezon-N grease. The anisotropic response of the sample can be measured by mounting the sample in different orientations with respect to the applied microwave field for a given mode, as shown in the set-up diagram (Fig. 1). The sapphire rod with sample was inserted into the cavity, along its axis from the bottom, such that the sample is stationed exactly at the center of the cavity. Support and adjustment of the sapphire rod was provided by a copper tube (20 mm long), the overlap between copper tube and sapphire rod is adjustable and finally fixed with GE-varnish to guarantee good thermal contact. The copper tube was brazed at the end to a 6.35-mm-diam stainless tube (wall thickness 0.15 mm), and the stainless tube was brazed to the bottom copper plate to form a thermal path to the bottom plate which is in contact with liquid $^4$He.

To heat the sample to higher temperature, a 50 $\Omega$ heating coil (0.1 mm Nichrome wire of 6.5 $\Omega$/ft) was wound around the copper tube, and the control of the sample temperature was accomplished using an external temperature controller (Lake Shore DRC 82 C), with a silicon diode temperature sensor (Lake Shore DT 470) which is attached to the sapphire rod outside the cavity. Another temperature sensor is put in the helium chamber to monitor the bath temperature.

Microwaves were generated with a HP8510B network analyzer, a HP8341B synthesized sweeper, and a HP8513A reflection/transmission test set, and coupled into and out of the resonator from the top, through two adjustable 50 $\Omega$ co-
where the complex frequency shift is much smaller than the resonant frequency, $f$.

The important parameters that define the analysis are the sample size and the cavity dimensions, so that the condition $k_{so} \ll 1$ is rigorously satisfied. The small sample size is much smaller than the cavity dimensions, so that the condition $k_{so} \ll 1$ is rigorously satisfied.

### III. ELECTRODYNAMIC BASIS OF THE MEASUREMENT

A small sample of volume $V_s$ placed in a resonant cavity causes the resonant frequency $f$ and quality $Q$ factor to change by a small amount $\delta f$. Assuming the shift in frequency is much smaller than the resonant frequency, $\delta f \ll f$, the change in cavity parameters can be expressed as

$$ \delta f = \frac{1}{Q} \int \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 dV + \frac{1}{4} \int \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 dV $$

where $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, with (subscript $s$) and without (subscript $c$) the sample. $\Delta f$ is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the power transmission spectrum and is related to the cavity $Q$ factor by $\Delta f = f/Q$. $(U)$ is the energy stored in the cavity of the resonant mode. $(\mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{E}_0)$ and $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E})$ are the cavity field configurations before and after the sample perturbation. A time dependence $e^{-i \omega t}$ is assumed. The terms $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ are the complex permittivity and permeability. We define the magnetic susceptibility as $\chi_m = \mu - 1 = \chi_m^p + i \chi_m^p$ and the dielectric susceptibility as $\chi_d = \epsilon - 1 = \chi_d^p + i \chi_d^p$.

It is convenient to discuss experimental results in terms of an effective dynamic or electromagnetic susceptibility $\zeta$:

$$ \delta \zeta = -g \zeta = -g (\zeta' + i \zeta'') $$

where $g$ is the sample geometrical factor, which is specific to the mode geometry and sample shape. Under appropriate conditions, $\zeta$ can be directly associated with the conventional magnetic $\chi_m$ or dielectric $\chi_d$ susceptibilities, as will be shown below.

To proceed further requires additional assumptions. Various approximations have been made, called the “quasi-static” (QS), “extended quasi-static” (EQS), and spherical wave (SW) analysis, depending on the approximation used to obtain the fields $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E})$. An extensive analysis was carried out by Brodin and Parsons (BP), which covers essentially all the regimes needed for the experimental measurement discussed here. In the following we use BP and analyze the various regimes.

### IV. SPHERICAL SAMPLE IN TE$_{011}$ MODE

The TE$_{011}$ configuration is well suited as a probe of the microwave response of materials because of the very high $Q$’s achievable in this configuration. In the present experiments, the sample is located at the center of the cavity on the axis. In this configuration we have maximum uniform axial magnetic field $H$ and zero electric field $E$. (See Fig. 1 for spatial profiles of the $H$ and $E$ fields.) In the following we use the analysis of BP, details of which are given in the Appendix.

The geometrical factor $g$ of a spherical sample is given as

$$ g = \frac{f}{J_0^2 (\beta_{01} r_o) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\pi}{L \beta_{01}} \right)^2 \right]} \cdot \frac{V_s}{V_c} $$

where $V_c$ is the volume of the empty cavity. $\beta_{01} r_o$ is the first root of Bessel function $J_1 (\beta_{01} r_o) = 0$. Using the cavity inner radius $r_o = 7/8$ in., and axial length $L = 1$ in., we get $g \approx 1.036 \times 10^{15} \cdot V_s [\text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}]$, where $V_s$ is the sample volume.

The full-wave analysis yields in principle (see Appendix A), results for the frequency shift due to sample perturbation for a large range of sample sizes and material properties. However, in all cases of experimental interest, the sample size is much smaller than the cavity dimensions, so that the condition $k_{so} \ll 1$ is rigorously satisfied.
TABLE I. The comparison of magnetic susceptibility measurements for microwave cavity technique and other techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( M ) [A·m(^{-2})]</th>
<th>Accuracy in [m(^3)/V(_s)]</th>
<th>( \chi ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superconducting cavity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10(^{-16}) (in ( f = 10 ) GHz)</td>
<td>Relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dc SQUID</td>
<td>10(^{-11})</td>
<td>10(^{-16})</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-( \chi )</td>
<td>5 \times 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>5 \times 10(^{-15})</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrating sample magnetometer</td>
<td>5 \times 10(^{-8})</td>
<td>5 \times 10(^{-13})</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternating gradient force magnetometer</td>
<td>10(^{-11})</td>
<td>10(^{-16})</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for example, if \( a = 1 \) mm and the measuring frequency is 10 GHz, then \( k_o a = 0.2 \). In this limit, we obtain

\[
\tilde{\varepsilon}_H = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{(2\mu + 1)j_1(ka) - \sin(ka)}{(\mu - 1)j_1(ka) + \sin(ka)} \right).
\]

(4)

We use the subscript \( H \) to denote that the electromagnetic (EM) susceptibility \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_M \) is being measured with an applied microwave magnetic field \( H_o \).

This general form is in principle valid for arbitrary \( ka \) which is determined by material properties \( \tilde{\mu} \), \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \), and \( \tilde{\sigma} \). However, in this form it is not very useful. It is therefore necessary to consider the different limits of this expression. Below we discuss the various limits and their applicability.

A. Magnetic permeability and susceptibility measurements

More generally the result in this limit can be written as

\[
\tilde{\varepsilon}_H = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{(2\mu + 1)j_1(ka) - \sin(ka)}{(\mu - 1)j_1(ka) + \sin(ka)} \right).
\]

(5)

Clearly the experiment measures \( \tilde{\mu} \) only if the second term is negligible. This may be possible in ferromagnetic samples where \( \mu > 1 \) provided the spins continue to respond at microwave frequencies. For weakly paramagnetic samples, we have

\[
\tilde{\varepsilon}_H = \tilde{\chi}_M; \quad (k_o a)^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_P = \tilde{\chi}_M.
\]

(6)

This limit is only achieved provided the sample is highly insulating and the dielectric constant is nearly 1.

1. Sensitivity and accuracy of magnetic susceptibility measurements

Having established the relationship between magnetic susceptibility \( \tilde{\chi}_M = \chi'_{M} + i \chi''_{M} \) and measured electromagnetic susceptibility \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_H \) in Eq. (6), we can estimate the measurement sensitivity of the technique. Clearly the sensitivity is associated with both the size of samples and the cavity resonant frequency \( f \). The bigger the sample size is, the higher the sensitivity is, as seen from Eqs. (2), (3), and (6), provided we still retain the small perturbation limit. Assuming a typical small sample has the dimension of \( V_c \approx 1 \times 1 \times 0.5 \) mm\(^3\), as in our experiment, we can detect the frequency shift \( \delta f \) and the absorption width \( \Delta f \) as small as 1 Hz in a resonant frequency of 10\(^{10}\) Hz. This results in a sensitivity limit of \( \delta \tilde{\varepsilon}_H \approx 10^{-6} \) and hence \( \delta \chi'_{M} < 10^{-6} \). For comparison, Table I lists the sensitivities of some commonly

used techniques for magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \) measurements. This in measurements, \( \chi \) generally has the form

\[
\chi_{\mathrm{meas}} = M/V_s H,
\]

(7)

where \( M \) is the magnetic moment in [A·m\(^2\)], \( H \) is the applied magnetic field in [A·m\(^{-1}\)]. If the same sample with volume \( V_s = \pi \times 10^{-8} \) is used for all these measurements, assuming an applied field of \( H = 10^{5} \) A/m corresponding to typical microwave fields, we can compare their sensitivities, as listed in Table I. Note that Eq. (6) gives the magnetic volume susceptibility \( \chi'_{M} = d\tilde{\varepsilon}_M/dH \) in unit of Systeme International (SI) [dimensionless]. In centimeter-gram-second (c.g.s.) it is usually expressed in [emu·cm\(^{-3}\)]. To convert from cgs to SI, a conversion multiplying factor of 4\( \pi \) is used.

The table shows that the hot finger cavity perturbation technique undoubtedly has one of the highest measurement sensitivities available. While other methods may require relatively large sample size and large applied field \( H \), these are not required in the microwave measurements. However, this high sensitivity is achieved only for relative changes, such as, for instance, with varying temperature. The precision for absolute measurements is much less due to small uncertainties in sample location.

Another factor which decreases the precision of the absolute susceptibility measurements is the arbitrary shape of the sample. Throughout this article we have calculated the complex frequency shift for spherical samples only. These results can be generalized for samples with ellipsoidal geometry which in particular cases could well approximate needle-like, plate-like, and rectangular parallelepiped samples. Although the frequency shift is dependent on the shape of the measured sample, we are often interested in the variation of \( \delta \tilde{\varepsilon}_M \) with the temperature, which up to an overall geometrical constant determines with high precision the relative changes in the electric and magnetic susceptibilities.

B. Lossy dielectric, permittivity, and surface impedance measurements

For even moderate conductivity and dielectric constants, the magnetic contribution is overwhelmed by the dielectric and conductivity contributions. Taking \( \tilde{\mu} \approx 1 \), in the limit \( V_s \approx \pi(k_o a)^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_P \), we have

\[
\tilde{\varepsilon}_H = -\frac{3}{2} \left( 1 - 3 \frac{1}{(ka)^2} + 3 \frac{\cot ka}{ka} \right).
\]

(8)
1. Dielectric permittivity and susceptibility measurements

The small $ka$ limit of this result leads directly to a measurement of the dielectric permittivity or susceptibility

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_H = \frac{1}{\pi} (k_o a)^2 (\tilde{\varepsilon} + i \tilde{\sigma} / \omega \varepsilon_o - 1);$$

when $\tilde{X}_M = (k_o a)^2 \chi_P$, and $ka \ll 1$

$$\approx \frac{1}{10} (k_o a)^2 \chi_P;$$

when $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$, $\tilde{X}_M = (k_o a)^2 \chi_P$, and $ka \ll 1$. \(9\)

Therefore, in the TE$_{011}$ mode one can measure the dielectric properties of a sample even though the sample is placed in a pure microwave magnetic field. This has nothing to do with the spatial variation of the $E$ field near the cavity axis. It simply arises from the wave equation and holds, within geometric factors, even in a homogenous magnetic field and with zero electric field, such as can be achieved in a split ring resonator.\(^6\)

This method of measuring $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ has one important advantage over $E$-field cavity perturbation measurements. The measured quantity is directly proportional to $\tilde{\varepsilon} - 1$ and holds even when $\tilde{\varepsilon} \gg 1$ so long as $(k_o a)^2 (\tilde{\varepsilon} - 1) < 1$, while in the $E$-field method the measured frequency shifts are proportional to $(\tilde{\varepsilon} - 1)/(\tilde{\varepsilon} + 2)$, due to so-called depolarization effects (see Appendix B), and can obscure the direct interpretation of the results.

For general $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ Eq. (8) can be inverted to obtain $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ from the measured $\tilde{\varepsilon}_H$. Examples of such inversions are presented later.

Note that the dielectric permittivity measured is that appropriate to the plane perpendicular to the direction of the magnetic field $H_o$. This is the direction of the displacement currents, and also the induced conduction currents. If the response in the plane is anisotropic then the measured $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ will be an appropriate mixture of the responses in the different axes in the perpendicular plane. This must be viewed as a drawback compared to the $E$-field method, where in principle the response along each axis can be measured using a needle shaped specimen elongated in the direction of interest.

2. Surface impedance measurements (skin depth or eddy current limit)

The other useful limit is for a highly conducting material, where $ka = (1 + i) a / \delta = (1 + i) a / \mu_o \omega a$. The skin depth $\delta = 1 / \sqrt{\mu_o \sigma \omega} < a$, hence

$$\tilde{Z}_H = \tilde{Z}_H = \frac{3}{\mu_o \omega a} (X_s + i R_s);$$

when $\tilde{X}_M \ll 0$, $a \gg \delta$, $ka \gg 1$. \(10\)

In Eq. (10) $\tilde{Z}_H$ is referenced to the complete diamagnetic result $\tilde{Z}_H = -3/2$ for a sphere. Thus in this limit the data are a direct measure of the surface impedance

$$\tilde{Z}_s = R_s - i X_s = \frac{-i \omega \mu_o}{\sigma}. \quad (11)$$

The normalization factor $3 / (\mu_o \omega a)$ is specific to the spherical sample and TE$_{011}$ mode geometries. Note that in this limit the measured data are $\propto 1 / \sqrt{\sigma}$.

It is worth noting that this result (11) is also valid for complex conductivity $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma_1 + i \sigma_2$ such as for a superconductor. The above treatment assumes that displacement current effects are negligible. If they are also present and can be represented in terms of a dielectric constant $\tilde{\varepsilon}$, then we can also write

$$\tilde{Z}_s = R_s - i X_s = \sqrt{-\frac{i \omega \mu_o}{\sigma - i \omega \tilde{\varepsilon}}}. \quad (12)$$

3. Conductivity (eddy current), peaks and dielectric loss peaks

As noted above, the measured changes in the cavity resonance parameters expressed here in terms of the electromagnetic susceptibility $\tilde{\chi}_H$ change from a $\propto \sigma$ dependence for small $\sigma$ to a $\propto 1 / \sqrt{\sigma}$ dependence for large $\sigma$. Thus as $\sigma$ is varied this results in a peak in the absorption or in $\tilde{\chi}_H$, accompanied by a change of $\tilde{\chi}_H$ from 0 to $\tilde{\chi}_H = -1.5$, as shown in Fig. 2. This conductivity or eddy current peak is similar to the depolarization peak observed in $E$-field measurements. Of course the location of the conductivity peak is determined by both the conductivity and the sample dimensions.

In certain materials, particularly the oxides, there are dielectric loss peaks intrinsic to the material, arising from a dielectric constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + i \varepsilon'' = \varepsilon(0)/(1 + i \omega \tau)$. Usually $\tau$ is a strong function of temperature $T$, and hence when $T$ is
varied, a peak in $\varepsilon'(T)$ occurs at a peak temperature $T_p$ where $\omega \tau(T_p) = 1$. Since $\tau(T)$ increases with decreasing $T$, this peak shifts to lower peak temperatures $T_p$ when the measurement frequency is decreased. Since $\tilde{\varepsilon}_H$ is proportional to $\varepsilon$ in the appropriate limit, a peak will be observed in $\tilde{\varepsilon}_H$ also as $T$ is varied.

In such materials $\sigma(T)$ is also a strong function of $T$ and typically is semiconducting: $\sigma(T) = \sigma_o \exp(-T_o/T)$. Under such conditions, the experimental data will display two peaks, one a dielectric loss peak and the other a conductivity peak, as $T$ is varied. When the measuring frequency $\omega$ is reduced, the dielectric loss peak will move to lower $T$ while the conductivity peak will move to higher $T$, i.e., the peaks move apart on the $T$ axis with decreasing $\omega$. A specific example of a dielectric loss peak in the spin ladder material Sr$_{14}$Cu$_{24}$O$_{41}$ is discussed later.

4. Dimensional resonances

A remarkable prediction of Eq. (8) is the occurrence of dimensional resonances when the dielectric constant varies strongly. This is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The resonances occur whenever $ka = (n + 1/2) \pi$ and are quite sharp. They correspond to situations where the electromagnetic field essentially resonates inside the sample, just like a dielectric resonator. We have observed such resonances in SrTiO$_3$, which can be viewed as a quantum paraelectric with transition temperature at $T = 0$, and in which material $\varepsilon'$ increases rapidly with decreasing $T$ to values approaching several thousands. Results are discussed later.

V. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

In the experiment, we first carry out a background run to measure the resonance frequency $f_c(T)$ and the width $\Delta f_c(T)$ of the empty cavity as a function of $T$. Then the sample is inserted and corresponding parameters $f_c(T)$ and $\Delta f_c(T)$ are measured. $\tilde{\varepsilon}_H = \varepsilon_H(T) + i \varepsilon_H'(T)$ is obtained using

$$\varepsilon_H'(T) = -\frac{1}{g} [f_c(T) - f_c(T_0)],$$

$$\varepsilon_H''(T) = \frac{1}{g} [\Delta f_c(T) - \Delta f_c(T_0)].$$

(13)

The term $g$ is given by Eq. (3). In practice, while relative changes $\Delta f_c(T) = f_c(T) - f_c(T_0)$ or $\Delta f_c(T) = f_c(T) - f_c(T_0)$ referred to a reference temperature $T_{ref}$ can be measured with extremely high precision, there can be larger errors in the absolute value of $|f_c(T) - f_c(T_0)|$. For this reason we represent the data as

$$\varepsilon_H'(T) = -\frac{1}{g} \{ [\varepsilon_H'(T) - \varepsilon_H'(T_0)] + \varepsilon_H(T_0) \}.$$  (14)

In many cases, the background correction $\varepsilon_H'(T)$ can be negligible. It is convenient to present the data as $\varepsilon_H''(T) = \varepsilon_H''(T) - \varepsilon_H''(T_{ref})$ instead of $\varepsilon_H''(T)$. To get the absolute value of $\varepsilon_H''(T)$, calibration can be made by putting the sample into the cavity to measure $f_c$ and then immediately taking the sample out to measure $f_c$ at a fixed temperature, and thus obtain $\varepsilon_H''(T_{ref})$. For many samples (e.g., see Sr$_{14}$Cu$_{24}$O$_{41}$ later), $\varepsilon_H''(T_{ref}) \ll \varepsilon_H''(T)$ particularly at high $T$, so that in these cases, $\varepsilon_H''(T) \approx \varepsilon_H''(T_{ref})$.

A. Inversion of experimental $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ or $\tilde{Z}_s$ data to obtain $\varepsilon$ and $\sigma$

The next key step is to obtain the fundamental material property, the sample dielectric function $\varepsilon$ or conductivity $\sigma$, from the experimental data represented either as $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ or the surface impedance $\tilde{Z}_s$. Two approaches are possible here:

1. Inversion of equations

We have successfully solved Eq. (8) to obtain $\tilde{\varepsilon} = ka = k_{a,\omega} \varepsilon + i \sigma_o \omega \varepsilon_o$ using the subroutine FSOLVE in MATLAB. The success of the solution depends crucially on the values of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ or equivalently $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. For values of $\varepsilon_H'$, $\varepsilon_H'' \sim 1$, which is well in the QS or EQS limits, the solution is very accurate and yields the sample $\sigma - i \omega \varepsilon$ with ease. In this limit $\tilde{\varepsilon} \approx 1$, corresponding to typical dielectric constants $\varepsilon \approx 1000$ (for the sample and cavity sizes discussed in this article) and not too small $\varepsilon$. Thus for lossy dielectrics, the results for $\varepsilon$ can be easily obtained. The results of such a solution for the material Sr$_{14}$Cu$_{24}$O$_{41}$ are discussed later in this article. Results on several other materials which have
similar properties, such as La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_4, YBa_2Cu_3O_6.9, and PrBa_2Cu_3O_7.0, are described in previous and forthcoming articles.14,15

Great care must be exercised in two regimes of parameter values:

1. When \( \zeta^u \gg 1 \) and \( \zeta^v \ll 1 \), which corresponds to \( ka \gg 1 \) (\( \varepsilon' > 1000 \) for the conditions of the experiments in this article). Here the resonances of \( \text{cot}(z) \) enter when \( ka = (n + 1) \pi/2 \), leading to dimensional resonances discussed in other sections.

2. The metallic limit, when \( \zeta^v \rightarrow -1.5 \), and \( \zeta^o \ll 1 \). In this limit it is more appropriate to use the surface impedance limit Eq. (11) rather than Eq. (8).

The principal difficulty in the above two limits is that there are many nearby minima of the underlying function, and the program quickly converges to spurious solutions. Future work will focus on this important problem.

2. Modeling the conductivity and dielectric constant to match the data

Even if the solution procedure is successful and the material \( \tilde{\sigma} \rightarrow i\omega \tilde{\varepsilon} \) is successfully extracted, a quantitative understanding of the experimental results for \( \tilde{\sigma} \rightarrow i\omega \tilde{\varepsilon} \) requires a model. Where the solution is not easily attained due to the difficulties mentioned above, we have found it necessary to bypass the solution procedure and instead use model calculations of \( \tilde{\sigma} \rightarrow i\omega \tilde{\varepsilon} \) to describe the \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) data using Eqs. (8) and (11).

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We describe below measurements on three different materials all in single crystal form. These crystals have typical dimensions of 1 x 1 x 0.5 mm\(^3\) and have been extensively characterized by a vast array of measurements: dc resistivity, dc SQUID susceptibility, x-ray diffraction (XRD), NE, and high pressure studies. Structural studies of the single crystals show that all of these measurements indicate single phase, high quality crystals.

A. Magnetodynamics in the spin chain material Sr\(_2\)CuO\(_3\)

Sr\(_2\)CuO\(_3\) single crystals were prepared by the floating zone technique.16 It is an insulator in a large range of temperature, and it only possesses linear Cu–O chains and is regarded as an ideal one-dimensional spin 1/2 chain. In the measurement, the sample is mounted in such a way that the microwave field \( H_{\parallel} || \hat{c} \) axis. Figure 4(a) shows the plot of \( \delta \varepsilon''_H(T) = \varepsilon''_H(T) - \varepsilon''(2K) \) vs \( T \) and \( \varepsilon''_H(T) \) vs \( T \) for Sr\(_2\)CuO\(_3\). The term \( \delta \varepsilon''_H \) shows a monotonic increase with temperature \( T \), and \( \varepsilon''_H \) has insignificant changes from 6 to 260 K. These results are comparable in magnitude with the dc magnetic susceptibility measurements.17 as shown in the dashed line of Fig. 4(a), and indicate that the sample perturbation effect is in the magnetic susceptibility limit \( (k_B T)^2 \tilde{\chi}_M = \tilde{\chi}_M \), so that \( \varepsilon''_H = \tilde{\chi}_M \). Thus in this material we are essentially measuring the magnetic susceptibility.

At low temperatures, additional features are observed in both \( \delta \varepsilon''_H \) and \( \delta \varepsilon''_H \), as shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). These peaks are microwave signatures of the 3D long range antiferromagnetic order (AF) transition at \( T_{N} \sim 5 K \). These 3D Heisenberg antiferromagnetic transitions occuring at 5 K are rather small features that have been seen only in high sensitivity dc-SQUID magnetic measurements. The very high sensitivity of the technique utilizing a superconducting cavity is evident from the similarity between the dc-SQUID and microwave data in Fig. 4.

B. Dielectric loss peaks in the spin ladder material Sr\(_{14}\)Cu\(_{24}\)O\(_{41}\)

There is increasing interest for studying spin/ladder compounds because superconductivity can be obtained in Ca doped Sr\(_{14}\)Cu\(_{24}\)O\(_{41}\) under high pressures.18 In Fig. 5, we show the results of \( \tilde{\chi}(T) \) in the case of \( H_{\parallel} || \hat{c} \) axis for Sr\(_{14}\)Cu\(_{24}\)O\(_{41}\). The striking feature of the data is the rapid drop with decreasing \( T \) in \( \delta \varepsilon''_H(T) \) below approximately 200 K, accompanied by a relatively sharp peak in \( \varepsilon''_H(T) \) at \( T \sim 170 K \), which is not seen in the dc magnetic susceptibility measurement [Fig. 5(b)]. The extraordinary dynamic range (over four orders of magnitude in \( \varepsilon''_H \)) of the superconducting cavity enables us to see an additional peak at low \( T \) in Fig. 5(b) [the semilog plot of (a) data]. Although a similar peak is also observed in \( \chi_{dc}(T) \), the magnitude is about ten times smaller than \( \varepsilon''_H(T) \). At high temperatures, the measured \( \varepsilon''_H \chi_{dc} \sim 10^3 \). Thus in this material the dielectric contributions dominate, i.e., \( (k_B T)^2 \tilde{\chi}_M \gg \tilde{\chi}_M \), and we are thus measuring the dielectric constant.

Figure 5(c) shows the dielectric constant \( \varepsilon' \) and \( \varepsilon'' \) obtained from the measured \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) data and inverting Eq. (8). The
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loss peak in $\epsilon''$ is clearly evident, and is accompanied by a change of state of $\epsilon'$. These data indicate an essentially pure dielectric relaxation process in this spin ladder material, arising from the presence of charges due to doping.

The dielectric mode is well described by a Cole–Davidson form $\epsilon'(\omega, T) = \epsilon(0)/[1 + i\omega\tau(T)]^{\beta}$, with $\epsilon(0) = 27$, $\beta = 0.6$, and an activated relaxation time $\tau(T) = 1.6 \times 10^{-16}\exp(T)\tau_0 /[s]$, with an activation energy $T_\sigma = 2000\,K$. When the relaxation rate $\tau^{-1}(T)$ varies rapidly with $T$ and crosses the measurement frequency $\omega$, a peak occurs at $T_p$, where $\omega\tau(T_p) = 1$, as shown in Fig. 5. In this material the relaxation time $\tau(T)$ appears to follow the conductivity $\sigma(T)$, indicating that the free carriers determine the polarization relaxation. Extensive details of the polarization dynamics in this material and in the related Sr14−xCa2Cu24O41 family are discussed in a forthcoming publication.15

### C. Dimensional resonances in SrTiO3

One of the striking predictions of the above analysis is the occurrence of dimensional resonances discussed in an earlier section. These resonances occur when the dielectric constant is so large that the condition $ka = (n + 1/2)\pi$ is satisfied. We have experimentally observed such resonances in single crystal samples of SrTiO3 measured in a TE011 cavity. The single crystal samples were purchased from Aesar Mfg. Co. The experimental data are shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), where $\delta\varepsilon''(T)$ and $\varepsilon''(T)$ are shown as a function of $T$ for a sample with dimensions $0.5\times0.5\times0.5\,mm^3$. The data clearly show resonances as a function of $T$. In this material $\epsilon'$ increases strongly with decreasing $T$ approaching values of nearly 1000. The experimental data shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) are quantitatively consistent with the behavior in (a) and (b).

Inversion of Eq. (8) shows a weakly $T$-dependent $\epsilon' \sim 850$ between 250 K and about 75 K. This value is entirely consistent with other measurements.19 However, at lower temperatures the inversion of the $\varepsilon''$ data to obtain $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is problematical because of the dimensional resonances. Here as noted before the solutions do not appear to be unique and spurious solutions are found.

An important parameter for microwave applications is the microwave loss in SrTiO3. We find that $\epsilon''(T)$ varies between 0.1 and 0.25 in the temperature region between 250 K and about 75 K where smooth solutions of $\epsilon'' \sim 850$ are obtained. We also note that the raw experimental data for $\varepsilon''$ indicate that the biggest resonances occur at 62 K and 37 K which is exactly where dielectric anomalies have been reported in lower temperature measurements.19

### D. Discussion

We have shown that a careful analysis of cavity perturbation methods, combined with the use of superconducting cavities, leads to a powerful method of measuring transport properties at microwave frequencies. The method can lead to exceptionally high sensitivities for the material properties.

A surprising result is that dielectric constants can be measured even though the sample is placed in a microwave magnetic field. One consequence of this conclusion is that many such experiments which use samples in microwave magnetic fields, such as nonresonant microwave absorption measurements,20 should be carefully analyzed for the influence of dielectric properties, and not just the magnetic properties.

The resulting microwave measurements show new dynamic phenomena with time scales corresponding to the GHz frequency ranges which is not seen in static dc SQUID susceptibility measurements. The microwave measurements yield information on dynamics at time scales $\sim 10^{-11}\,s$ comparable to NS, but shorter than NMR and nuclear quadruple resonance (NQR) $(10^{-7}\,s)$ and μSR $(10^{-8}\,s)$, and are a sensitive probe of charge and spin dynamics in novel electronic materials. These results will lead us to a new perspective of how to understand other cuprates. In future publications, we will discuss the results of measurements on low-dimensional spin systems and high temperature superconductors.
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### APPENDIX A: SPHERICAL SAMPLE IN MAGNETIC FIELD MAXIMUM OF TE011 MODE

Brodwin and Parsons treated a spherical homogeneous sample with radius $a$ in a resonant cavity when the restrictions $ka \ll 1$ and $k_a \ll 1$ are removed. They use a method developed by Stratton21 in which the electric and magnetic fields inside and outside the perturbing sample are expressed as expansions of spherical vector potential functions.

The field configurations of the TE011 mode in cylindrical coordinate $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{z})$ are expressed as
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**FIG. 5.** Experimental data of $\delta\varepsilon''$ for Sr14Cu24O41 in (a) linear and (b) semilog plots; (c) $\epsilon'$ and $\epsilon''$ obtained from the $\varepsilon''$ data of (a) by solving Eq. (8). The dielectric loss peak is clearly evident. Also shown in (c) (dashed line) is the fit of the dielectric response to a Cole–Davidson form. (d) Data of (c) in a semilog plot, showing an additional feature at 110 K coincident with the opening of the magnetic gap reported in this system.
\[ \mathbf{H}(r, \varphi, z) = -H_o \frac{\pi}{\beta_{o1} L} J_1(\beta_{o1} r) \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{L} \right) \hat{r} + H_o J_0(\beta_{o1} r) \sin \left( \frac{\pi z}{L} \right) \hat{\varphi}, \]  

where \( H_o \) is the maximum magnetic field in the center of the cavity, \( r_o \) is the radius of the cavity, \( L \) is the cavity axial length, and \( \beta_{o1} r_o \) is the first root of the Bessel function \( J_0(\beta_{o1} r) = 0 \). A time dependence \( e^{-i\omega t} \) is assumed in \( \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{E} \). When a small sample with radius \( a \) is put inside the cavity, the complex frequency shift \( \delta \omega \) for TE\text{011} mode is given by the following expression:

\[ \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{i 9 \eta \sin^2 \alpha}{2 J_0^2(\beta_{o1} r)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(2n+1)}{3n(n+1)} \left[ \frac{P_n^\prime(\cos \alpha)}{\sin \alpha} \right]^2 \delta_0 \left( a^2 / \rho^2 \right), \]  

where \( \beta = \sqrt{k_o^2 - \rho^2} = \kappa_o \sin \alpha, \) \( h = \pi / L, \) and \( \eta = \sqrt{\nu_s / \nu_e} \) is the filling factor. The term \( a^2 / \rho^2 \) is the coefficient corresponding to the reflected (scattered) field and is given by the following expression with \( \rho = k_o a \) and \( N\rho = ka \):

\[ a^2 / \rho^2 = -\frac{\mu j_N(N\rho)[p_N j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)] + j_N(p)[N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'}{\mu j_N(N\rho)[p h_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]' - h_N(p)[N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'}. \]  

In the following we define the sample geometrical factor \( \gamma \) as

\[ \gamma = \frac{\eta \sin^2 \alpha}{J_0^2(\beta_{o1} r)}, \]  

Considering \( N\rho = ka \ll 1 \) the frequency shift \( \delta \omega \) can be reduced to

\[ \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = -3 \gamma \frac{\tilde{\mu} - 1}{\tilde{\mu} + 2} - 9 \gamma \frac{\tilde{\mu}^2 - 6 \tilde{\mu} + 4}{10} \frac{(k_o a)^2}{(\tilde{\mu} + 2)^2} (k_o a)^2. \]  

If \( \tilde{\mu} = 1 + \chi_M \approx 1 \), in addition to \( k_o a, ka \ll 1 \), then the above equation reduces to

\[ \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = -\gamma(\tilde{\mu} - 1) = -\gamma \chi_M = -\gamma (\chi_M' + i \chi_M''). \]  

Here the frequency shift \( \delta \omega \) is a measurement of the complex magnetic susceptibility \( \chi_M \).

Considering the cavity resonant frequency \( \omega_{mnp} = c \sqrt{B_{mn}^2 + \gamma^2 / L^2} \), \( \gamma \) for the TE\text{011} mode can be rewritten as

\[ \gamma = \frac{\eta}{J_0^2(\beta_{o1} r)} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_{o1} L} \right)^2 \right]. \]  

This series in Eq. (A2) is rapidly convergent for samples with diameters less than \( \lambda / 2\pi \), therefore the leading term gives a good approximation for the frequency shift

\[ \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{i 9 \gamma a^2}{2 \rho^2}. \]  

Using the spherical Bessel functions: \( j_1(\rho) = \sin(\rho) / \rho^2, \) \( h_{11}^{(1)}(\rho) = -e^{i\rho} (\rho + i) / 2\rho^2, \) and \( [j_1(\rho)]' = -[\sin(\rho) - \rho \cos(\rho)] / 2 \rho^2 + \sin(\rho), \) we can examine the results of \( \delta \omega \) in various limits.

### A. Extended quasi-static limit \( k_o a \ll 1 \)

In this approximation, \( j_1(\rho) = \rho^2 / \rho^2 - \rho^2 / 2^3 15, \) \( h_{11}^{(1)}(\rho) = -i\rho^2 / i2 + 2^3 15, \) and \( [j_1(\rho)]' = 2\rho^2 / 2 + 2^3 15 + \rho^0 3, \) Eq. (A2), can be written as

\[ \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{3}{2} \left[ \frac{2 \tilde{\mu} j_1(N\rho) - [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'}{\tilde{\mu} j_1(N\rho) + [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'} \right] + A_1 \cdot (k_o a)^2, \]  

where \( A_1 \) is the coefficient of the second order term of \( k_o a \) and it is given by

\[ A_1 = \frac{3}{20} \left[ \frac{4 \tilde{\mu} j_1(N\rho) - [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'}{\tilde{\mu} j_1(N\rho) + [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'} \right] - \frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{2 \tilde{\mu} j_1(N\rho) - [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'}{\tilde{\mu} j_1(N\rho) + [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]'} \right]^2 \]  

### B. Pure conductor: Eddy current or skin depth limit: \( \tilde{\mu} = 1, \tilde{\varepsilon} = 1, \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \)

In this limit \( k_o a = (1 + i) a / \delta, \) where \( \delta = 1 / \sqrt{\mu_o \sigma} \omega \) is the skin depth. Retaining the first order in the series of Eq. (A7), we obtain the complex frequency shift \( \delta \omega \):

\[ \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{3}{2} \left[ j_1(N\rho) + [N p j_{\rho+1}(N\rho)]' \right] \]  

By using \( \cot(x + iy) = \sin 2y / (\cos 2y - \cos 2x) - i \sin 2y / (\cos 2y - \cos 2x), \) we obtain the Landau and Lifshitz expressions.
where \( x \) part of the wave vector are not equal: perfect conductor but in this case the real and the imaginary shift has a similar expression with the one derived for a surface impedance in terms of surface impedance.

Therefore the complex frequency shift \( \delta \omega \) becomes \( \delta \omega = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{a} \left( \frac{2 \delta}{a} + \frac{3 \delta}{a} \right) \) (A12).

In the high frequency limit where \( \delta \ll a \) the expressions become

\[
\text{Re} \left( \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} \right) = \frac{3 \gamma}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta}{a} \right],
\]

\[
\text{Im} \left( \frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} \right) = \frac{9 \gamma}{4} \left( \frac{\delta}{a} \right)^2.
\]

(A13)

Therefore the complex frequency shift \( \delta \omega \) could be written in terms of surface impedance \( Z_s = R_s - iX_s \):

\[
\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{3 \gamma}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta}{a} \right] \left( X_s + iR_s \right)
\]

(A15)

with \( R_s = X_s = \sqrt{\omega \mu_0 \varepsilon_0} \).

3. Lossy dielectric: \( \varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon' + i\varepsilon'', \sigma = \sigma 

In this case, \( k^2 = \omega^2 / c^2 \left( \varepsilon + i\sigma/\omega \varepsilon_0 \right) \), the frequency shift has a similar expression with the one derived for a perfect conductor but in this case the real and the imaginary part of the wave vector are not equal:

\[
\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{3 \gamma}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta}{a} \right] + \frac{3 \cot ka}{ka}.
\]

(A16)

In the limit where \( ka \ll 1 \), the above equation can be written as

\[
\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} \approx -\frac{\gamma}{10} \left( k_0 a \right)^2 \left( \varepsilon + i \sigma/\omega \varepsilon_0 \right) - 1
\]

\[
= -\frac{\gamma}{10} \left( k_0 a \right)^2 \tilde{\chi}_p; \quad \text{(when } \tilde{\sigma} = 0),
\]

(A17)

where \( \tilde{\chi}_p = \varepsilon - 1 = \varepsilon' - 1 + i\varepsilon'' = \chi_p' + i\chi_p'' \). Here the frequency shift \( \delta \omega \) is a measurement of the complex dielectric susceptibility \( \chi_p \) when \( \tilde{\sigma} = 0 \).

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE IN TM_{110} ELECTRIC FIELD MAXIMUM

Although we have focused on the TE_{011} mode, it is also possible to carry out measurements using the TM_{110} mode.

For a sample placed in the cavity center at the microwave electric field maximum, the frequency shift is \( \delta \omega/\omega \).

\[
\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{i9 \eta}{4 J_1^2(\kappa_0 r_o)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(n+1) \left( P_{n+1}''(0) \right)^2 \delta_{\omega 0} \left( b'_n / \rho \right)
\]

(B1)

with the reflection coefficient \( \tilde{b}_n = -\tilde{e}_n(Np)[\tilde{p} j_{n+1}(\rho)]' + j_{n}(\rho)[N \tilde{p} j_{n+1}(Np)]' \).

The first order of Eq. (B1) becomes

\[
\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = -\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\delta^2}{\omega \mu_0 \varepsilon_0} \right) \left( \tilde{e}_n(Np) - \tilde{h}_p(Np) \right) \left( N \tilde{p} j_{n+1}(Np) \right)
\]

(B3)

with a new geometrical factor \( \gamma' = \frac{\eta}{2 J_1^2(\kappa_0 r_o)} \).

(B4)

where \( \kappa_0 r_o \) is the first root of the Bessel function \( J_0(\kappa r_o) = 0 \).

In the limit where \( ka \ll 1 \) the frequency shift becomes

\[
\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{-3}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\omega \mu_0 \varepsilon_0} + O(2).
\]

(B5)
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